

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/935,724		ROGER B BRADFORD	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Etienne P LeRoux		2161	

All Participants:

(1) Etienne P LeRoux.

(2) Thomas C. Fiala.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2005

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 10:00 am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-15

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


MOHAMMAD ALI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to applicant's representative about a potential amendment of the claims in order to allow instant application. Applicant's representative agreed with Examiner's proposal and authorization was given for an Examiner's Amendment to cancel claims 1-3, 5-9 and 12-14 and amend claims 4 and 10.